

Amendment Order, 1967, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 226 in Gazette of India dated the 17th February 1967.

- (xviii) The Rajasthan Foodgrains (Restrictions on Border Movement) Amendment Order 1967, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 227 in Gazette of India dated the 17th February, 1967.

[Placed in the Library. See No. LT-108/67].

12.15 hrs.

**PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE
SIXTY-SEVENTH TO SEVENTY-SECOND
REPORTS**

Secretary: I beg to lay on the Table the following Reports of the Public Accounts Committee (1966-67) (Third Lok Sabha) which were presented by the Chairman of the Committee to the Speaker of the Third Lok Sabha on the 28th February, 1967, before its dissolution:—

- (1) Sixty-seventh Report on Appropriation Accounts, 1964-65 and Audit Report, 1966 relating to the Government of Kerala.
- (2) Sixty-eighth Report on Appropriation Accounts (Civil) 1964-65, Finance Accounts, 1964-65 and Audit Report (Civil), 1966 relating to the Ministries of Finance, Health and Family Planning, Information and Broadcasting, Iron and Steel and Supply, Technical Development and Materials Planning etc.
- (3) Sixty-ninth Report on Excesses Over Voted Grants and Charged Appropriations disclosed in the Appropriation Accounts (Civil), 1964-65.
- (4) Seventieth Report on Para 10 of Audit Report (Defence Services), 1966—Manufacture of Engines.

- (5) Seventy-first Report on Appropriation Accounts (Defence Services), 1964-65 and Audit Report (Defence Services), 1966.

- (6) Seventy-second Report on Appropriation Accounts (Railways), 1964-65 and Audit Report (Railways), 1966.

12.16 hrs.

**PERSONAL EXPLANATION BY
MEMBERS**

(Shri George Fernandes)

श्री जार्ज फर्नेन्डीस (बम्बई दलित) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, दिनांक 23 मार्च को अमेरिका की सेंट्रल इंटरलिजेंस एजेंसी की कार्यवाहियों के बारे में हम मदन में जो चर्चा हुई उस के दरमियान श्रीमती तारकेश्वरी सिन्हा ने अन्य बातों के साथ यह कहा कि :

"Shri Banerjee has said that the ICFU is giving funds to the Indian National Trade Union Congress. He has omitted very conveniently the HMS, which is a Mazdoor organisation of the Socialist Party, the P.S.P. I think Mr. George Fernandes was also associated with it for some time, and I think he is still associated."

मुझे यह स्पष्ट करना है कि प्रजासोशलिस्ट पार्टी का मजदूर संगठन हिन्द मजदूर सभा, जो आई० सी० एफ० टी० यू० से सम्बन्धित है, से मेरा कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं है। समाजवादी मजदूर संगठनों के 1958 में हिन्द मजदूर सभा के तत्कालीन नेताओं से हम लोगों के जो मतभेद थे उन में से एक यह था कि हिन्द मजदूर सभा आई० सी० एफ० टी० यू० से जुड़ी हुई थी। मैं उन लोगों से था जो एच० एस० एस० को आई० सी० एफ० टी० यू० से अलग करने की कोशिश में थे चूँकि हमारी राय आई० सी० एफ० टी० यू० को अमेरिका के बीच में उन

{श्री जयंत कलकेश्वरि}

झिंनो में हुकने कल्ले श्रीत बुद्ध का एक हृदियार
कल मया वा ।

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:
(Barh): May I say something?

Mr. Speaker: Not now.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:
He has said that he has not been associated with it. He has been associated with one of the sister organisations of ICFTU.

12.18 hrs.

CLARIFICATION BY MINISTER

(Shri Vidya Charan Shukla)

Mr. Speaker: Now, clarification by Shri V. C. Shukla.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): Mr. Speaker. Sir I rise to clarify certain points arising out of the allegations made by Shri A. K. Gopalan, MP, in his statement under rule 357 on 27-3-1967.

When this question first arose on March 11, 1965, the then hon. Speaker observed as follows:

"If someone writes to me that this is incorrect, certainly I would find out from the Member from where he got it and the House has the authority to take action against the Member, if any wrong statement is made. That is the usual practice."

After this my comments were called for by the hon. Speaker on 1.7.1965. I furnished my comments and also sent certain documents for Speaker to be satisfied that the allegations made by me were *prima facie* justifiable on the basis of the evidence. No further action was taken by the Speaker. I was therefore well justified in presuming that the material furnished by me had

satisfied the Speaker in view of his observations quoted above. Shri Gopalan may dispute the probative value of evidence. But I logically presumed that the Speaker was convinced of there being *prima facie* ground for what I had alleged. And this is all that I mentioned on this point on 18.3.1967.

About my remarks on the issue of expunction, there is no justification in motives being attributed to me. It is a matter of record and what I spoke from memory could always be checked with the record and duly corrected.

Shri A. K. Gopalan rose—

Mr. Speaker: No further reply now.

Shri A. K. Gopalan (Kasergod): I am not giving any reply. A copy of the statement of the hon. Minister was supplied to me in which there is a sentence which reads:

"The mistake is *bona fide* inadvertence but even for this very minor slip I have hardly any hesitation in saying that I am sorry."

I do not know why this sentence was left out while reading.

Mr. Speaker: The copy supplied to me also contains that statement.

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirappalli): Let Shri Shukla read out that sentence also.

श्री जयंत कलकेश्वरि (मुंबई) : प्रथम महोदय, मेरा एक विज्ञेद्वय सुनिधि । व्यक्तिगत स्पष्टीकरण के बारे में नियम बिलकुल साफ है । यह एक पत्रिका प्रक्रिया है कि जो स्पष्टीकरण लिख कर आप के पास भेजा जाता है उस में कोई तबदीली नहीं हो सकती है यहां तक कि मैं ने बारबार यह कहा है कि इस पत्रिका प्रक्रियार का दुरुस्योग करने अगर कोई उस में प्रत्यक्ष जाबज वा नसत बयानी करेगा तो सख्त का दण्डमान है ।